

Covid vaccine legal action sheet

RESEARCH LINKS [HERE](#)

How do we legally end all covid vaccine mandates?

There is a way, and I'm about to share with you how. I promise you it will be shocking.

Did you know the following....

- Pfizer has two Covid vaccines
 - COMIRNATY (COVID-19 Vaccine, mRNA)
 - Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine
- COMIRNATY was approved by the FDA on August 23, but the FDA refused to approve the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine.
 - Nearly 100% of America's media has falsely reported that the FDA approved the Pfizer vaccine everyone has taken.
- On the same day the COMIRNATY vaccine was approved, the FDA sent a letter to Pfizer updating them of a newly revised Emergency Use Authorization for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. The letter said in part that,
 - "The products are legally distinct with certain differences"
 - FDA issued the new Emergency Use Act for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine because...
 - "Although COMIRNATY (COVID-19 Vaccine, mRNA) is approved to prevent COVID-19 in individuals 16 years of age and older, there is not **sufficient approved vaccine** available for distribution to this population in its entirety at the time of reissuance of this EUA." By "Sufficient Approved Vaccine" the FDA is referring to the COMIRNATY vaccine.
- The FDA made it very clear that they had not approved the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine by telling Pfizer the following:
 - "All descriptive printed matter, advertising, and promotional material relating to the use of the **Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19** Vaccine clearly and conspicuously shall state that: **This product has not been approved or licensed by FDA**"
- Fact: There are two vaccines by Pfizer. The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine which has been administered to Americans for the past months

was not approved and is to be only used under the scope of authorization set forth by the August 23, EUA. Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is legally considered to be an experimental drug and, as such, can only be taken on a voluntary basis. The COMIRNATY vaccine is the only approved vaccine by the FDA, but it's not currently available in the marketplace. Both use the same formula, but they have "certain differences."

Legally Speaking:

- If an employee were to take the BioNTech vaccine and not the approved COMIRNATY vaccine, they are in danger of not having their on-the-job injury claim paid for, should they be injured by the vaccine since they took a non-approved vaccine.
- If a medical worker uses the BioNTech vaccine in a manner not authorized in the EUA they are in danger of being charged with a felony.
- If an employer demands employees acquire a vaccine to be taken in a timeframe for a vaccine that isn't on the market, then the employer can be held liable for harassment and for causing immense and undue stress on the employee.
- If the U.S. Military forces soldiers to take the BioNTech vaccine under duress, then those military officials would be violating the orders of the Secretary of Defense, and as such, they would be liable for damages caused to soldiers who took the non-approved vaccine.
- These points are just the beginning of the legal horrors created for the American people by FDA officials.

State Attorney General, you have a moral obligation to issue an emergency press release informing the public of the two legally distinct vaccines and the legal consequences of using one vaccine over the other.

Clinical Trial

- Pfizer would be studying a brand new vaccine technology never before approved by the FDA.
- Trial was designed to run for 24 months
- Required by the FDA to prove 50% VE (Vaccine Efficacy) at the end of the 24-months
- Must prove a benefit to risk analysis
- FDA publicly announced they would allow public input and have

recommendations from the vaccine advisory board prior to approving ANY covid vaccine.

FACTS of Trial

- Trial failed after 6 months
- VE only shows up to 6 months, not after
- FDA shows 91% VE when Pfizer shows 84%
- Pfizer showed in their July 25th preprint that the vaccine was degrading at a rate of 6% every two months, but they haven't provided data past the 6th month trial data.
- The failure rate proves in writing by Pfizer that they could not meet the 50% VE setup by the FDA for approval
- Study lost 44% of blinded group by the fourth month
- Study lost 93% of blinded group by the sixth month
- Therefore, VE only represents 7% of the entire study and only up to the 6th month, while missing 75% of academic study time.
- Pfizer lost 93% of the blinded group because they stated that it was unethical to keep non-vaccinated persons in the dark should they desire to become vaccinated. This is honorable and ethical. However, it does not negate the fact that the study was a failure. Pfizer and the FDA should have started a new trial using the 100m Americans who would be more than happy to forgo the vaccine. Instead, Pfizer used this ethical argument as a justification to end their trials, and the FDA accepted massive incomplete data to approve the vaccine in the shortest amount of time in FDA's history.
- Real world comparison proves the vaccine performed worse in the clinical trial than Americans did in public during the same time frame. Only about 12% of Americans have contracted the virus since the pandemic started. However, during the time of the study it was less than 7%. Therefore, for a study to use such a small base and say it had 91% efficacy as touted by the FDA, well so did the American people without the vaccine. Many will point to the fact that this isn't how you compare a clinical trial, but when a trial removes 93% of its data then we are scientifically required to look elsewhere for proof.
- Israel's Ministry of Health announced that
 - within 7 months the VE rate for their entire nation fell to 39%.
 - That rate fell to 16% by the start of the 8th month

Therefore, we have a serious problem with the benefit of mandating this vaccine.

Adverse Reactions FACTS

- Europe health database reported 385,441 adverse reactions to Comirnaty through 08/28/21
- CDC reports **228,172** adverse reports for Pfizer through 08/21/21
- More than 3,282 deaths for Pfizer in CDC
 - Comparison - The drug Bextra was pulled off the shelf after Pfizer was fined \$2.3 billion for drug fraud. Bextra had 12,318 adverse reaction cases reported with 1,054 of those being labeled as death. These cases were collected over a span of 18 years. However, in less than 12 months, Pfizer's vaccine has collected 300% more reports of death and 1,929% more adverse reactions in total than Bextra did in 18 years.
- The Center for Disease Control monitors 94 vaccines for adverse reactions. At the end of August there were a total of 1,230,785 adverse reactions reported for all 94 vaccines. However, the two RNA vaccines make up nearly 50% of all reported deaths and 45% of all reported adverse reactions. Statistically speaking, this is off the charts. These reports are submitted voluntarily, and this means that most likely these numbers are far lower than reality.
- FDA tracks 20,000 drugs, and both Moderna and Pfizer have a 65% higher death reporting rate than the average of those 20,000 other drugs.
- CDC stopped tracking the VE of Pfizer by not requiring medical workers to notate if new covid patients are vaccinated. No other country has stopped tracking this ethical data.
- OSHA stopped requiring businesses to report on-the-job injuries relating to covid vaccines, therefore removing from the public possible side effects to this vaccine.
- FDA required Pfizer to place a warning in their COMIRNATY drug sheet that there are higher heart-related risks associated with males 40 and under.
- FDA states that vaccine receivers must be near life-saving equipment when receiving the shot, should they undergo an allergic reaction to the shot.
- FDA gives no guidance for pregnant women since no study was conducted for that demographic.

Pfizer VE Real World Data

- Israel Ministry of Health released a report that said:
 - 90% of all new covid cases for ages 50 and over are from fully vaccinated persons
 - More citizens are dying from delta variant who are fully vaccinated than unvaccinated
 - The UK also showed more vaccinated citizens dying from delta than unvaccinated
- Israeli doctors and researchers studied 650,000 citizens and discovered that a person previously infected with Covid is 1200% more protected from the delta variant than a person vaccinated with Pfizer.
- LA hospitals reported that 1 out of every 3 patients are fully vaccinated.

This is only the tip of the iceberg. Therefore, we have a serious problem with the risk associated with mandating the vaccine.

Facts about FDA Approving the Pfizer Drug

- FDA approved a new and unproven vaccine in the shortest amount of time in their organization's history.
- FDA did not allow public input.
- FDA did not allow input from vaccine advisory board.
- FDA refused a petition by pro-vaccine industry peers to hold off approving the vaccine until the two year trial ended.
- FDA approved a failed clinical study.
- FDA ignored the VE of 84% by Pfizer and instead used an older number of 91%. Why?
- FDA ignored the VE failure rate of 6% every two months proving that it could not meet the 50% VE threshold.
- FDA did not investigate the historic number of reactions to Pfizer's drug as reported in the CDC and European database.
- FDA did not take into account Israel's MOH report showing only a 16% VE after 7 months past the 2nd shot.
- FDA did not take into account reports of higher deaths among vaccinated than unvaccinated for the delta variant.
- FDA had zero legitimate reasons to approve a vaccine since it could have stayed in the EUA status and continue its clinical studies.

FACT: The FDA used a failed clinical trial missing 93% of data and 75% of academic study time to approve an untested vaccine technology from a company with a history of drug fraud. The officials who voted to approve this drug have opened themselves up to personal lawsuits for failure to fulfill their fiduciary responsibilities.

FACT: The Pfizer vaccine has no proof of solid resistance to Covid viruses. This isn't semantics because the belief has been presented that if you just take the vaccine you will be protected, and that simply isn't true. Furthermore, because the medical community has believed this fallacy they aren't working to develop actual treatments in volume to best manage the healthcare of their people. An example is that in Oregon they have one free monoclonal antibody treatment center for the entire state, compared to Florida where they have them all over the state. The Regeneron antibody treatment has a phenomenal track record, and yet certain leaders are refusing quality healthcare to their citizenry because of this failed belief that the vaccine is the cure for the virus.

How we can act on this information?

How should we approach the Supreme Court and/or our local courts?

I firmly believe that in a court of law we will have resounding success, especially at SCOTUS, and I'll explain why.

First, let me give you some advice if you are under a vaccine mandate.

I'm giving you my personal opinion here as local laws are different all over the nation, but I know for a fact that you will have a solid case to make.

You must insist on taking the COMIRNATY vaccine since it's the ONLY approved vaccine. This ensures that you will have access to lawsuits against your company or organization and on-the-job injury claims should you incur an injury when using the vaccine.

You should take a look at the letter below and present this as your basis for wanting to forgo the vaccine. The letter also provides information to your organization up front so they can't claim ignorance in court later on. There is one specifically for the military and one for all others.

- Contact your state attorney General/ Governor/ and elected reps and ask them to watch this video:

- Simply state the following: "I want to leave a message for (name). Please watch the video at [TheRepublicJournal.Com](https://www.therepublicjournal.com) because it legally demonstrates how to stop covid vaccine mandates and how FDA's approval of the vaccine has placed our state in a legal firestorm. Don't yell, argue, or make demands. They receive mean and crude phone calls all day long. Be gracious, and I assure you that if they receive enough phone calls they will watch the video.
- **Attorney General, we should make an emergency request to SCOTUS to halt ALL covid vaccine mandates and proceed with a suit asking the court to revoke the Pfizer vaccine approval**

Let me explain why I'm confident we can win in courts all over the nation.

- FDA and Pfizer have created a legal nightmare for the entire nation by having one vaccine approved but not available, and another one that isn't approved and can only be taken voluntarily since it's legally considered experimental.
- No approved vaccine is readily available on the market, and therefore mandates are placing undue pressure on employees, students, and soldiers to acquire something that's not available to them
- Military rumors abound that soldiers will be required to take the non-approved Bio-NTech vaccine instead of the approved vaccine since they're "chemically" the same. However, SECDEF Austin made it abundantly clear that the vaccine administered must be approved via the label. Should the military force soldiers to take the experimental vaccine, then they would be nullifying their 14th amendment rights to equality should a future class action lawsuit against Pfizer arise. I'm confident it will.
- Universities and employers can't force students and employees to take an experimental vaccine, and I'm 100% confident they can't prove a benefit-to-risk formula to justify the mandate. Students are the least impacted by the virus but the most impacted by the vaccine. Let that sink in for a moment. The higher risk warning label on the vaccine are for high school and college age students.
- Lawsuit against FDA's approval will be filed within 30 days with a request to revoke approval of the license and to require Pfizer to start a new clinical study for two years of study
- If lawsuit is won and citizens were forced to take the vaccine under duress, then there would be no remedy for them later on.
- Vaccine mandate changes virtually nothing since no approved vaccine is

available, so there is no injury to the plaintiff for waiting 30 days for further court hearings.

- FDA did not approve a completed and thorough clinical study.
- FDA did approve...
 - A brand new and untested vaccine delivery method in the shortest amount of time in the agency's history
 - A clinical trial that only completed 6 of its required 24 months of academic study
 - A clinical trial that only had 7% of participants remaining when they determined endpoint VE
 - A vaccine that could not meet the FDA's minimum required efficacy threshold had it finished even 50% of its trial study
 - A vaccine that has historic level of adverse reporting in the US and around the world
 - A vaccine technology that has a 65% average higher rate of deaths than 20,000 other drugs
 - A vaccine technology that accounts for 50% of all deaths and nearly all adverse reactions out of 94 vaccines.
 - A vaccine that only had 16% VE after 8 months of use in a nation that adopted the vaccine in over 75% of its citizens.
 - A vaccine despite pro-vaccine industry peers petitioning them not to prior to the completion of the trials
 - A vaccine when there was no legitimate reason to, but had every ethical and moral reason not to, since the vaccine was still under the EUA and being studied around the world
 - The FDA willfully failed to show decision makers that COMINARTY VE was not 91% but 84%, and that the vaccine had a failure rate of 6% every two months, and that failure rate was in fact accelerating.
 - A vaccine by a drug company that had to pay the largest criminal fine in USA history of \$2.3B for drug fraud. It was ethically required of the FDA to go the extra mile and provide extreme transparency prior to approval.
 - But the FDA did not allow public input - very few have seen the raw data that make up the trial results.

Employees / Soldiers / Students, etc.

If you're being threatened to acquire the vaccine shot within a certain timeframe you should immediately seek out a lawyer and speak to them about a suit for harassment / intimidation / causing strong emotional stress over your possible financial future. Your employer should ensure that what they are requiring you to do is actually available for you to accomplish. Your

attorney can guide you in the best process based on your local laws. Furthermore, you should not only sue the business or organization, but you should also seek a secondary lawsuit against the person making the demand.

Lawyers

You should take a strong look at conducting a class action lawsuit against Pfizer and FDA officials over the approval of Comirnaty. Why? When Pfizer filed for an application for full approval they were saying that they were fully ready, and that their trials proved low risk historically speaking and high benefits. Furthermore, you should take a look at the initial study used to approve the first EUA and look closely at the suspected covid cases column. There are far more injured Americans than what's in the CDC database since that's a voluntary reporting system. I would suggest creating a database to allow citizens to file their injuries for the purpose of participating in a class action lawsuit.

Your state attorneys should send out an immediate press release detailing the legal differences between the two vaccines and the legal consequences of taking the different vaccines. FACT: It does not matter what's inside the vial; it only matters what's on the label attached to the vial.

TheRepublicJournal.Com